

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,430	04/03/2001	Tadashi Takano	SIMTEK6140	4775
25776	7590 04/26/2004		EXAM	INER
ERNEST A.	BEUTLER, ATTORNE	UTLER, ATTORNEY AT LAW PHAM, LEDA T		
10 RUE MAR	RRSEILLE BEACH, CA 92660 ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER	
NEWI ORI D	JACH, CH 72000		2834	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/681,430	TAKANO, TADASHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leda T. Pham	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	<u> 9 January 2004</u> .	
,	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2001</u> is/are		cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	nents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	nents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· · · · ·	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
uttachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Intention	Summary (PTO-413)
) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(	(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "related machine housing" and the "related machine shaft" in claim 1; the "sleeve type bearing" in claim 20, 23, 30, and 33; and "the cylindrical post is detachably connected to the second end closure" in claim 22 and claim 32 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### **Specification**

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: in the specification and drawing, the "related machine housing" and the "related machine shaft" do not describe to support for claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 26-27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2834

In claim 1 line 4-5, and claim 27 line 4, "said first end closures" should be change to -- said first end closure--.

In claim 7, "the plurality of field cores are" should be change to –the plurality of field cores is--.

In claim 26 line 3, "the associated rotating machine" lacks of antecedent basis.

In claim 27 line 6, "an cylindrical" should be change to – a cylindrical--

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 1, the "related machine housing" and the "related machine shaft" do not describe in the specification and the drawing.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2834

7. Claims 1, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In those claim, the term "extending through" is indefinite because in the specification and the drawing, the rotor does not extending through the first end closure (see all the figure). According to the specification the driving shaft portion only extending into a cavity form in the first end closure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,876,298).

Referring to claim 1, Kato teaches a DC rotating electrical machine (figure 1) comprised of an outer housing (2) forming a stator (25) of said rotating electrical machine, said outer housing being comprised of a generally cylindrical center section (the part outside the core stator 25) and affixed first and second end closures (the part attach to end shaft 22 and bearing 24, and the housing portion contain gear 42), a rotor (21) journalled within said outer housing and having an end portion extending through said first end closures (shaft 22 extending through bearing 23 and the housing portion containing gear 42) for driving connection to a related rotating machine (generator 1), said related rotating machine comprising a related machine housing (the housing

Art Unit: 2834

where voltage regulator 16, and rectifier 17 mounted on) journaling a related machine shaft (12) driven by said rotor end portion, said first end closure having an axially extending wall portion extending beyond said first end closure and forming a cavity in which a substantial portion of said related rotating machine is contained (the portion where the shaft 12 connecting with the gear 42).

Referring to claim 2, Kato teaches a third end closure (10) is affixed in closing relation to the cavity of the first end closure for containing the related rotating machine (1) within the cavity of said first end closure.

Referring to claim 3, Kato teaches the first and second end closures are axially spaced from each other and the second end closure is integrally formed with an axially extending cylindrical center section (figure 1).

Referring to claim 4, Kato teaches the first end closure is in abutting relation to the axially extending cylindrical center section (figure 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 5 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakanura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,235,228).

Art Unit: 2834

Referring to claim 5, Kato teaches the claimed invention, except for the added limitation of the first end closure is axially spaced from the axially extending cylindrical center section.

Nakanura teaches the DC rotating electrical machine (figure 1) having a first end closure is axially spaced from the axially extending cylindrical center section (space by 18, figure 1) for balancing a structure of electric motor.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kato's rotating electric machine with the end closure as taught by Nakanura. Doing so would provide a balancing electric motor.

Referring to claim 6, Nakanura teaches the stator made up a plurality of field coils (fig.1).

Referring to claim 7, Nakanura teaches the plurality of field coils is wound around a laminated core (figure 1).

12. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kato and Nakanura as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Hoda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,22,715 B1).

Referring to claim 8, the combination of Kato and Nakanura refs. teaches the claimed invention except for the added limitation of the lamination core is exposed between the first and second end closures.

Hoda teaches a construction of a motor with a built-in sensor (figure 1) having a stator (2) with the lamination core is exposed between the first (25) and second end closures (10) for decreasing the length of the motor.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lamination core of the stator in the DC rotating electrical

Art Unit: 2834

machine as taught by Hoda. Doing so would decrease the length of the motor and make the motor lighter.

13. Claims 9 – 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takano (U.S. Patent No. 6,680,553 B1).

Referring to claim 9, Kato teaches the claimed invention, except for the added limitation of the DC rotating electrical machine is brushless.

Takano teaches in his invention a rotating electrical machine with DC brushless for producing a higher output more efficiently and avoiding the noise and sparking.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the DC rotating electrical machine with brushless as taught by Takano. Doing so would produce a higher output and avoid the noise and sparking.

Referring to claim 10, Takano teaches the DC rotating electrical machine further including a sensor (43) contained within the outer housing for sensing the rotational position of said rotor (figure 1).

Referring to claim 11, Takano teaches the stator made up a plurality of field coils (fig.1).

Referring to claim 12, Takano teaches the DC rotating electrical machine wherein a controller (printed circuit board) responsive to the output of the sensor switches the polarity of the field coils (lines 15 - 17, column 2).

Referring to claim 13, Takano teaches the controller (PCB) is mounted on the interior of the DC rotating electrical machine (figure 1).

Referring to claim 14, Takano teaches the controller (PCB) is mounted axially between the first (15) and the second (16) end closures.

Art Unit: 2834

Referring to claim 16, Takano teaches the controller (PCB) is mounted on the interior of the DC rotating electrical machine. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the controller mounting on the exterior of the DC rotating electrical machine as recited in the claim, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

14. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kato and Takano as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Kikuta et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,053,664).

Referring to claim 15, the combination of Kato and Takano refs. teaches the claimed invention except for the added limitation of the controller is mounted in a cylindrical member interposed between the first and second end closures.

Kikuta teaches a motor having a controller (PCB inside control circuit case) mounted in a cylindrical member (128) interposed between the first and second end closures (130, 138) for preventing overheat the controller.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the DC rotating electrical machine with the controller mounting in a cylindrical member as taught by Kikuta. Doing so would prevent overheat the controller.

15. Claims 17 – 19, 26 - 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,163,093).

Art Unit: 2834

Referring to claim 17 and claim 27, Kato teaches the claimed invention except for the added limitation of the second end closure carries a cylindrical post extending into a cylindrical opening in the rotor for journaling said rotor within the outer housing.

Shimizu teaches a pump actuation motor having a second end closure (15) carries a cylindrical post (15a) extending into a cylindrical opening in the rotor (12a) for supporting the end side of the rotation shaft (figure 2).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the end closure of the housing as taught by Shimizu. Doing so would increase in the assembling efficiency by decreasing in the quantity of the parts and enhancement of the durability.

Referring to claim 18 and claim 28, Shimizu teaches the cylindrical post (15a) extends a substantial distance axially into the rotor (12).

Referring to claim 19 and claim 29, Shimizu teaches the cylindrical post (15a) engages a bearing (28) associated with the rotor (12).

Referring to claim 26, Shimizu teaches the DC rotating electrical machine comprising a motor and the related rotating machine is a hydraulic pump (figure 1).

16. Claims 20, 22 – 23, 30, and 32 - 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kato and Shimizu as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Buchanan, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 5,644,180).

Referring to claim 20, 23, 30 and 33, the combination refs. of Kato and Shimizu teaches the claimed invention, except for the added limitation of the sleeve type bearing.

Art Unit: 2834

Buchanan teaches in his invention the bearing (50) associated with the rotor (40) is sleeve type bearing for supporting the other end of the rotary shaft (figure 2 –4).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the sleeve bearing for supporting the end of the shaft as taught by Buchanan. Doing so would provide a low cost bearing.

Referring to claim 22 and claim 32, Buchanan teaches the cylindrical post (52) is detachably connected to the second end closure (36, figure 2).

17. Claims 21, 24 – 25, 31, and 34 - 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kato and Shimizu as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Obara (U.S. Patent No. 6,246,137 B1).

Referring to claim 21, 24, 31, and claim 34, the combination refs. of Kato and Shimizu teaches the claimed invention, except for the added limitation of the anti friction bearing.

Obara teaches in his invention the bearing (3, 4) associated with the rotor (2) is anti friction bearing for supporting the rotary shaft.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the anti friction bearing for supporting the rotary shaft as taught by Obara. Doing so would provide a spindle motor with high accuracy of rotation.

Referring to claim 25 and claim 35, Shimizu teaches the cylindrical post (15a) is integrally formed with the second end closure (15).

### Response to Amendment

18. This action is made non-final regarding to the Petition filed on 1/29/04.

Art Unit: 2834

19. The amendment filed on 3/22/03 had been entered.

20. In view of the appeal brief filed on 7/03/03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leda T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2032. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) first Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leda T. Pham Examiner Art Unit 2834

TRAN NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

LTP April 15, 2004